## **CALOCUS WORKSHEET**

Rater Name	Date_
Please check the applicable ratings within your score and determine the recommendation	n each dimension and record the score in the lower right hand corner. Total led level of care using either the Placement Grid or the Decision Tree.
I. Risk of Harm  ☐ 1. Low Potential for Risk of Harm	IV-B. Recovery Environment - Level of Support  ☐ 1. Highly Supportive Environment
☐ 2. Some Potential for Risk of Harm	☐ 2. Supportive Environment
☐ 3. Significant Potential for Risk of Harm	☐ 3. Limited Support in Environment
4. Serious Potential for Risk of Harm	☐ 4. Minimal Support in Environment
☐ 5. Extreme Potential for Risk of Harm	☐ 5. No Support in Environment
4 " 4	Score Score
II. Functional Status ☐ 1. Minimal Impairment	V. Resiliency and Treatment History  ☐ 1. Full Response to Treatment
☐ 2. Mild Impairment	☐ 2. Significantly Resilient and/or Response to Treatment
☐ 3. Moderate Impairment	3. Moderate or Equivocal Response to Treatment And
☐ 4. Serious Impairment	Recovery Management
☐ 5. Severe Impairment	☐ 4. Poor Response to Treatment and Recovery Management
AMATINE AND AN TO MAKE AND	TI 5. Negligible Response to Treatment
W. C. M. L. W.	Score Score
III. Co-Morbidity  ☐ 1. No Co-Morbidity	V.I. A. Acceptance and Engagement - Child/Adolescent  ☐ 1. Optimal
☐ 2. Minor Co-Morbidity	☐ 2. Constructive
☐ 3. Significant Co-Morbidity	☐ 3. Obstructive
☐ 4. Major Co-Morbidity	☐ 4. Destructive
☐ 5. Severe Co-Morbidity	☐ 5. Inaccessible
	Score Score
IV-A. Recovery Environment - Level of Stress  ☐ 1. Minimally Stressful Environment	VI-B. Acceptance and Engagement - Parent/Primary Caretaker  □ 1. Optimal
☐ 2. Mildly Stressful Environment	☐ 2. Constructive
☐ 3. Moderately Stressful Environment	☐ 3. Obstructive
☐ 4. Highly Stressful Environment	☐ 4. Destructive
☐ 5. Extremely Stressful Environment	☐ 5. Inaccessible
	Score Score
Composite Score	Level of Care Recommendation

## SCORING SHEET Child and Adolescent Level of Care Utilization System

A.	Clinical Level of Care Recommendation (Assign before using CALOCUS)							
В.	Calculation of Composite CALOCUS Sco	ore				1'		
	<u>Dimension</u>	Dim	Dimension Rating (circle score)					
1.	Risk of Harm	1	2	3	4	5		
2.	Functional Status	1	2	3	4*	5		
3.	Co-Morbidity	1	2	3	4*	5		
4.	Recovery Environment							
	<b>Environmental Stressors</b>	1	2	3	4	5		
	Environmental Support	1	2	3	4	5		
5.	Resiliency and Treatment History	1	2	3	4	5		
6.	Acceptance and Engagement							
	Child/Adolescent	广静	2	3	4	5		
	Parent and/or primary care taker	1	12	3	4	5		
	and the second s	te: please	record th	e higher	of the two	scores)		
combin * = inc	Bold indicates independent criteria-requires automined score. A score of 4 results in placement at leve dependent criteria may be waived if sum of IV-A an POSITE CALOCUS SCORES (add right co	t 5 and a s d IV-B sco	core of 5	results ir	evel of car n placeme	e regardle nt at level	ess of six.	
C.	CALOCUS Derived Level of Care Recommendation (Consult Grid and Decision Tree)							
D.	D. Actual (Disposition) Level of Care							
Reaso	on for Variance from CALOCUS Level of	Care Rec	ommen	dation	2	12.		
			,					
Patier	nt/Family Name:							
Date	of Scoring:Na	me of So	corer: _		2			